

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1430 Alexandria, Virgiria 22313-1450 www.uspio.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/777,473	02/06/2001	Ervin F. Johnston		8971
7590 02/11/2008 ERVIN F. JOHNSTON 3829 SNEAD DRIVE			EXAMINER	
			KARMIS, STEFANOS	
SIERRA VISTA, AZ 85650			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3693	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/11/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (37 CFR 1.121)

1. Amendments to the specification:

A. Amended paragraph(s) do not include markings.
 B. New paragraph(s) should not be underlined.
 C. Other ______.

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/777,473	JOHNSTON, EF	RVIN F.
Examiner	Art Unit	
STEEVING KADMIS	2602	

The amendment document filed on 13 November 2007 is considered non-compliant because it has failed to meet the requirements of 37 CFR 1.121 or 1.4. In order for the amendment document to be compliant, correction of the following item(s) is required.

THE FOLLOWING MARKED (X) ITEM(S) CAUSE THE AMENDMENT DOCUMENT TO BE NON-COMPLIANT:

	□ B. Other .	
	☐ 3. Amendments to the drawings: ☐ A. The drawings are not properly identified in the top in "Annotated Sheet" as required by 37 CFR 1.121(d)	
	 □ B. The practice of submitting proposed drawing correct showing amended figures, without markings, in correct C. Other 	
	□ A. Amendments to the claims: □ A. A complete listing of all of the claims is not present B. The listing of claims does not include the text of all □ C. Each claim has not been provided with the proper of each claim cannot be identified. Note: the statu number by using one of the following status identific (Previously presented), (New), (Not entered), (With □ D. The claims of this amendment paper have not beer B. Cother: □ Cother:	pending claims (including withdrawn claims) status identifier, and as such, the individual status is of every claim must be indicated after its claim iers: (Original), (Currently amended), (Canceled), idrawn) and (Withdrawn-currently amended).
	5. Other (e.g., the amendment is unsigned or not signed in a	accordance with 37 CFR 1.4):
For	further explanation of the amendment format required by 37 CFF	R 1.121, see MPEP § 714.
TIM	E PERIODS FOR FILING A REPLY TO THIS NOTICE:	
	Applicant is given no new time period if the non-compliant amei filed after allowance. If applicant wishes to resubmit the non-con entire corrected amendment must be resubmitted.	
	Applicant is given one month , or thirty (30) days, whichever is lo correction, if the non-compliant amendment is one of the followin (including a submission for a request for continued examination (amendment filed within a suspension period under 37 CFR 1.102 (<i>augle</i> action. If any of above boxes 1. to 4. are checked, the co non-compliant amendment in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121.	g: a preliminary amendment, a non-final amendmen RCE) under 37 CFR 1.114), a supplemental 3(a) or (c), and an amendment filed in response to a
	Extensions of time are available under 37 CFR 1.136(a) only amendment or an amendment filed in response to a Quayle a	
	Failure to timely respond to this notice will result in: Abandonment of the application if the non-compliant amerified in response to a Quayle action; or Non-entry of the amendment if the non-compliant amendn	
	amendment.	
	Legal Instruments Examiner (LIF), if applicable	Telephone No.

⁻⁻ The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-324)

Application No.

Claim 104 is non-compliant because the markings are not proper. The claim identifier should not be underlined. Also there are underlinings in the claim indicating an amendment, however, there is no change from the previous claim. Specifically, the phrase "Computer readable medium or media as claimed in claim 103 having" has markings (underlining) as if it were mended, however the claim language is the same as in the previous listed claim language. Therefore it is not clear if this claim is inteded to be amended.

/Stefanos Karmis/

Primary Examiner, 3693